

p -adic multiple zeta values of integer indices

Ku-Yu Fan

Nagoya University

Conference on characteristic 0 and characteristic p multiple zeta values

2026/03/19



Scan the QR code to open my homepage and download the slides.

Admissible integer indices

Integer indices

Definition

For $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, an r -tuple (k_1, \dots, k_r) in \mathbb{Z}^r is called an *integer index* and denoted by \mathbf{k} . For $r = 0$, the 0-tuple is an *integer index* defined as \emptyset .

Definition

The set of (resp. positive) integer indices \mathcal{I} (resp. $\mathcal{I}_{>0}$) is defined by

$$\mathcal{I} = \bigsqcup_{r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \mathbb{Z}^r \quad (\text{resp. } \mathcal{I}_{>0} = \bigsqcup_{r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^r).$$

Definition

For two integer indices $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_r) \in \mathbb{Z}^r$ and $\mathbf{k}' = (k'_1, \dots, k'_{r'}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{r'}$, we define the *concatenation* of \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}' to be $(k_1, \dots, k_r, k'_1, \dots, k'_{r'}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{r+r'}$ and denote it by $(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}')$.

Integer indices

Definition

For an integer index $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_r) \in \mathbb{Z}^r$, we define the *weight* of \mathbf{k} to be $k_1 + \dots + k_r$ and denote it by $\text{wt}(\mathbf{k})$.

Definition

For an integer index $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_r) \in \mathbb{Z}^r$, we define the *depth* of \mathbf{k} to be r and denote it by $\text{dep}(\mathbf{k})$.

Definition

For an integer index $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_r) \in \mathbb{Z}^r$, we define the *tail index* \mathbf{k}_t of \mathbf{k} by $\mathbf{k}_t := (k_t, \dots, k_r) \in \mathbb{Z}^{r-t+1}$ for $t = 1, \dots, r$.

Regularizability index

Definition

For an integer index $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_r) \in \mathbb{Z}^r$, we define the *regularizability index* $m_{\mathbf{k}}$ of \mathbf{k} by

$$\begin{aligned} m_{\mathbf{k}} &:= \min \{ \text{wt}(\mathbf{k}_t) - \text{dep}(\mathbf{k}_t) \mid t = 1, \dots, r \} \\ &= \min \left\{ \sum_{i=t}^r (k_i - 1) \mid t = 1, \dots, r \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

For $\mathbf{k} = \emptyset$, we define $m_{\emptyset} := \infty$.

Regularizability index of linear combinations

Definition

Let Σ be an element in the formal \mathbb{Q} -linear space $\text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{\mathcal{I}\}$. We define the support $\text{Supp}(\Sigma)$ of Σ to be the set of integer indices satisfying

$$\Sigma = \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \text{Supp}(\Sigma)} c_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{k}$$

with $c_{\mathbf{k}} \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\}$.

Definition

Let Σ be an element in the formal \mathbb{Q} -linear space $\text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{\mathcal{I}\}$. We define the *regularizability index* m_{Σ} of Σ by

$$m_{\Sigma} := \min \{m_{\mathbf{k}} \mid \mathbf{k} \in \text{Supp}(\Sigma)\}.$$

Admissible integer index

Definition

An integer index $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_r) \in \mathbb{Z}^r$ is called *admissible* if $m_{\mathbf{k}} > 0$.

Definition

The set of admissible (resp. positive) integer indices \mathcal{I}^{adm} (resp. $\mathcal{I}_{>0}^{\text{adm}}$) is defined by

$$\mathcal{I}^{\text{adm}} = \{\mathbf{k} \in \mathcal{I} \mid m_{\mathbf{k}} > 0\} \text{ (resp. } \mathcal{I}_{>0}^{\text{adm}} = \{\mathbf{k} \in \mathcal{I}_{>0} \mid m_{\mathbf{k}} > 0\}).$$

Remark

For a positive integer index $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_r) \in \mathbb{N}^r$, it is admissible if and only if $k_r > 1$.

Regularizable integer index

Definition

An integer index $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_r) \in \mathbb{Z}^r$ is called *regularizable* if $m_{\mathbf{k}} \geq 0$.

Definition

The set of regularizable (resp. positive) integer indices \mathcal{I}^{reg} (resp. $\mathcal{I}_{>0}^{\text{reg}}$) is defined by

$$\mathcal{I}^{\text{reg}} = \{\mathbf{k} \in \mathcal{I} \mid m_{\mathbf{k}} \geq 0\} \text{ (resp. } \mathcal{I}_{>0}^{\text{reg}} = \{\mathbf{k} \in \mathcal{I}_{>0} \mid m_{\mathbf{k}} \geq 0\}).$$

Remark

For a positive integer index $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_r) \in \mathbb{N}^r$, it is always regularizable.

Example

Example

Let $\mathbf{k} = (a, b)$ be an integer index. Then,

$$\text{wt}(\mathbf{k}) = a + b, \quad \text{dep}(\mathbf{k}) = 2,$$

$$\mathbf{k}_1 = \mathbf{k} = (a, b), \quad \mathbf{k}_2 = (b),$$

$$m_{\mathbf{k}} = \min\{a + b - 2, b - 1\}.$$

This implies \mathbf{k} is admissible if $a + b - 2, b - 1 > 0$ and is regularizable if $a + b - 2, b - 1 \geq 0$.

Multiple polylogarithms

Definition

Let $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_r) \in \mathbb{Z}^r$ be an integer index. The (*single variable*) *multiple polylogarithms* are the power series defined by

$$\mathrm{Li}_{k_1, \dots, k_r}(z) := \sum_{0 < n_1 < \dots < n_r} \frac{z^{n_r}}{n_1^{k_1} \dots n_r^{k_r}},$$

where z is a complex number.

Definition

We consider the following \mathbb{Q} -linear spaces of multiple polylogarithms:

- ① $\mathcal{MPL}_{>0}^{\mathrm{adm}} = \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{Q}} \{ \mathrm{Li}_{\mathbf{k}}(z) \mid \mathbf{k} \in \mathcal{I}_{>0}^{\mathrm{adm}} \} \subset \mathbb{Q}[z].$
- ② $\mathcal{MPL}_{>0} = \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{Q}} \{ \mathrm{Li}_{\mathbf{k}}(z) \mid \mathbf{k} \in \mathcal{I}_{>0} (= \mathcal{I}_{>0}^{\mathrm{reg}}) \} \subset \mathbb{Q}[z].$
- ③ $\mathcal{MPL}^{\mathrm{adm}} = \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{Q}} \{ \mathrm{Li}_{\mathbf{k}}(z) \mid \mathbf{k} \in \mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{adm}} \} \subset \mathbb{Q}[z].$
- ④ $\mathcal{MPL}^{\mathrm{reg}} = \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{Q}} \{ \mathrm{Li}_{\mathbf{k}}(z) \mid \mathbf{k} \in \mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{reg}} \} \subset \mathbb{Q}[z].$

Faulhaber's formula

We denote by B_i^+ (resp. B_i^-) be the Bernoulli numbers given by

$$\frac{t}{1 - e^{-t}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n^+ \frac{t^n}{n!} \quad \left(\text{resp. } \frac{t}{e^t - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n^- \frac{t^n}{n!} \right).$$

We note

$$B_1^+ = +\frac{1}{2}, \quad B_1^- = -\frac{1}{2}, \quad \text{and } B_n^- = B_n^+ \text{ for } n > 1.$$

Lemma (Faulhaber's formula)

Let k be a non-negative integer.

$$\sum_{n=1}^m n^k = \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k+1}{i} B_i^+ m^{k+1-i}$$

$$\left(\text{resp. } \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} n^k = \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k+1}{i} B_i^- m^{k+1-i} - \delta_{k,0} \right).$$

Proposition for MPLs of integer index

Proposition (F.)

The following equalities hold:

- ① $\mathcal{MPL}_{>0}^{\text{adm}} = \mathcal{MPL}^{\text{adm}}$.
- ② $\mathcal{MPL}_{>0} (= \mathcal{MPL}_{>0}^{\text{reg}}) = \mathcal{MPL}^{\text{reg}}$.

Proof-Example.

Let $\mathbf{k} = (a, b)$ be an admissible integer index, i.e., $a + b - 2, b - 1 > 0$. We prove the multiple polylogarithm $\text{Li}_{\mathbf{k}}(z) \in \mathcal{MPL}^{\text{adm}}$ belongs to $\mathcal{MPL}_{>0}^{\text{adm}}$. If $a > 0, b > 1$, then $\text{Li}_{\mathbf{k}}(z) \in \mathcal{MPL}_{>0}^{\text{adm}}$. If $a \leq 0, b > 1$, then by Faulhaber's formula we obtain

$$\text{Li}_{\mathbf{k}}(z) = \frac{1}{-a+1} \sum_{i=0}^{-a} \binom{-a+1}{i} B_i^- \text{Li}_{a+b-1+i}(z) - \delta_{-a,0} \text{Li}_b(z) \in \mathcal{MPL}_{>0}^{\text{adm}}.$$

One can inductively use Faulhaber's formula to prove this proposition. □

Positive-index map

Definition

The *positive-index map* is a \mathbb{Q} -linear map

$$\pi^+ : \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{\mathcal{I}^{\text{adm}}\} \rightarrow \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{\mathcal{I}_{>0}^{\text{adm}}\} \quad (\text{resp. } \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{\mathcal{I}^{\text{reg}}\} \rightarrow \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{\mathcal{I}_{>0}^{\text{reg}}\})$$

defined by

$$\pi^+(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_I c_{\mathbf{k},I} I,$$

where the positive integer indices I and the coefficients $c_{\mathbf{k},I} \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\}$ are uniquely obtained step by step through the inductive argument.

Example

Let $\mathbf{k} = (a, b)$ be an admissible integer index. If $a \leq 0, b > 1$, then

$$\pi^+(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{-a+1} \sum_{i=0}^{-a} \binom{-a+1}{i} B_i^-(a+b-1+i) - \delta_{-a,0}(b).$$

Extension of the positive-index map

Remark

Actually, the positive-index map can be extended to $\text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{\mathcal{I}\}$, that is,

$$\pi^+ : \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{\mathcal{I}\} \rightarrow \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{\mathcal{I}\}, \quad \pi^+(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_I c_{\mathbf{k},I} I,$$

where the integer indices

$$I \in \{\emptyset\} \sqcup \bigsqcup_{r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^{r-1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^{m_{\mathbf{k}}}$$

and the coefficients $c_{\mathbf{k},I} \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\}$ are obtained step by step through the same inductive argument. For integer indices $\mathbf{k} \in \mathcal{I}$, we have the identity

$$\text{Li}_{\mathbf{k}}(z) = \sum_{I \in \text{Supp}(\pi^+(\mathbf{k}))} c_{\mathbf{k},I} \text{Li}_I(z) \in \mathbb{Q}[[z]].$$

Shuffle product

Correspondence of integer indices and words

We recall the shuffle product for integer indices introduced by Ebrahimi-Fard, Manchon, and Singer.

Definition

Let X be the alphabet $\{j, d, y\}$, and let W denote the set of words on the alphabet X , subject to the rule $jd = dj = \mathbf{1}$, where $\mathbf{1}$ denotes the empty word. For an integer index $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_r) \in \mathbb{Z}^r$, we define

$$w_{\mathbf{k}} = j^{k_r} y \cdots j^{k_1} y$$

to be the *corresponding word*. Conversely for $w = j^{k_r} y \cdots j^{k_1} y$, we define

$$\mathbf{k}_w = (k_1, \dots, k_r)$$

to be the *corresponding integer index*.

Remark

We have a one-to-one correspondence between the set W_y and the set \mathcal{I} .

Shuffle product for integer indices

Definition ([Ebrahimi-Fard, Manchon, Singer])

We define the shuffle product by $\mathbf{1} \sqcup w = w \sqcup \mathbf{1} = w$ for any word $w \in \mathcal{W}_y$, and recursively with respect to the sum of the lengths of two words in \mathcal{W}_y :

- ① $yu \sqcup v := u \sqcup yv := y(u \sqcup v),$
- ② $ju \sqcup jv := j(u \sqcup jv) + j(ju \sqcup v),$
- ③ $du \sqcup dv := d(u \sqcup dv) - u \sqcup d^2v,$
- ④ $du \sqcup jv := d(u \sqcup jv) - u \sqcup v,$
- ⑤ $ju \sqcup dv := d(ju \sqcup v) - u \sqcup v.$

Definition

Let $\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}' \in \mathcal{I}$ be two integer indices. We define the shuffle product for integer indices by

$$\mathbf{k} \sqcup \mathbf{k}' := \mathbf{k}_{w_{\mathbf{k}} \sqcup w_{\mathbf{k}'}}.$$

Example of shuffle product

Example

The corresponding words of $(1, -1), (-1, 1)$ are $dyjy, jydy$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & dyjy \sqcup jydy \\
 = & d(yjy \sqcup jydy) - yjy \sqcup ydy && \text{by 4} \\
 = & dy(jy \sqcup jydy) - yy(jy \sqcup dy) && \text{by 1} \\
 = & dy(j(y \sqcup jydy) + j(jy \sqcup ydy)) - yy(jy \sqcup dy) && \text{by 2} \\
 = & dy(jyjydy + jy(jy \sqcup dy)) - yy(jy \sqcup dy) && \text{by 1} \\
 = & dyjyjydy + dyjy(d(jy \sqcup y) - y \sqcup y) - yy(d(jy \sqcup y) - y \sqcup y) && \text{by 5} \\
 = & dyjyjydy + dyjydyjy - dyjyyy - yydyjy + yyyy. && \text{by 1}
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the shuffle product $(1, -1) \sqcup (-1, 1)$ of them is

$$(-1, 1, 1, -1) + (1, -1, 1, -1) - (0, 0, 1, -1) - (1, -1, 0, 0) + (0, 0, 0, 0).$$

Regularizability index for shuffle product

Proposition (F.)

Let \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}' be two integer indices. Then,

$$m_{\mathbf{k} \sqcup \mathbf{k}'} = \min\{m_{\mathbf{k}}, m_{\mathbf{k}'}, m_{\mathbf{k}} + m_{\mathbf{k}'}\}.$$

Proof sketch.

By induction on the sum of the lengths of $w_{\mathbf{k}}$ and $w_{\mathbf{k}'}$, we check all recursion conditions in the definition of the shuffle product by considering cases according to the comparison between the index and 0. \square

Example

$$\begin{aligned} m_{(1,-1) \sqcup (-1,1)} &= m_{(-1,1,1,-1) + (1,-1,1,-1) - (0,0,1,-1) - (1,-1,0,0) + (0,0,0,0)} \\ &= \min\{-4, -4, -4, -4, -4\} = -4 \end{aligned}$$

$$\min\{m_{(1,-1)}, m_{(-1,1)}, m_{(1,-1)} + m_{(-1,1)}\} = \min\{-2, -2, -4\} = -4$$

Regularizability index for shuffle product

Corollary (F.)

The space $\text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{\mathcal{I}^{\text{adm}}\}$ (resp. $\text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{\mathcal{I}^{\text{reg}}\}$) of admissible (resp. regularizable) integer indices is a \mathbb{Q} -subalgebra of $(\text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{\mathcal{I}\}, \sqcup)$.

Proof.

Let \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}' be two admissible (resp. regularizable) integer indices. By the proposition, we have

$$m_{\mathbf{k} \sqcup \mathbf{k}'} = \min\{m_{\mathbf{k}}, m_{\mathbf{k}'}, m_{\mathbf{k}} + m_{\mathbf{k}'}\} > 0 \text{ (resp. } \geq 0).$$

This shows that it is closed under the shuffle product, hence we have a subalgebra of $(\text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{\mathcal{I}\}, \sqcup)$. □

Positive-index map and shuffle product

Theorem (F.)

Let

$\text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{\mathcal{I}^{\text{adm}}\} = (\text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{\mathcal{I}^{\text{adm}}\}, \sqcup)$, $\text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{\mathcal{I}_{>0}^{\text{adm}}\} = (\text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{\mathcal{I}_{>0}^{\text{adm}}\}, \sqcup)$
be the \mathbb{Q} -algebra with shuffle product \sqcup . Then, the positive-index map

$$\pi^+ : \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{\mathcal{I}^{\text{adm}}\} \rightarrow \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{\mathcal{I}_{>0}^{\text{adm}}\}$$

is a \mathbb{Q} -algebra homomorphism, that is,

$$\sqcup \circ (\pi^+ \otimes \pi^+) = \pi^+ \circ \sqcup.$$

Proof sketch.

We show the general formula below by induction on the sum of the lengths of w_k and $w_{k'}$.

$$\pi^+ \circ \sqcup \circ (\pi^+ \otimes \pi^+) = \pi^+ \circ \sqcup : \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{\mathcal{I}\} \times \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{\mathcal{I}\} \rightarrow \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{\mathcal{I}\}.$$



Stuffle product

Shuffle product for integer indices

We recall the shuffle product for integer indices.

Definition

We define the shuffle product

$$* : \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{\mathcal{I}\} \times \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{\mathcal{I}\} \rightarrow \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{\mathcal{I}\}$$

recursively by:

$$\emptyset * \mathbf{k} = \mathbf{k} * \emptyset := \mathbf{k}$$

where $\mathbf{k} \in \mathcal{I}$ is an integer index, and for two integer indices $(\mathbf{k}, k), (\mathbf{k}', k')$ with $k, k' \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}' \in \mathcal{I}$,

$$(\mathbf{k}, k) * (\mathbf{k}', k') := (\mathbf{k} * (\mathbf{k}', k'), k) + ((\mathbf{k}, k) * \mathbf{k}', k') + (\mathbf{k} * \mathbf{k}', k + k').$$

Example of stuffle product

Example

The stuffle product of two integer indices $(1, -1)$ and $(-1, 1)$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (1, -1) * (-1, 1) \\
 = & ((1) * (-1, 1), -1) + ((1, -1) * (-1), 1) + ((1) * (-1), -1 + 1) \\
 = & ((-1, 1, 1) + ((1) * (-1), 1) + (-1, 2), -1) \\
 & + (((1) * (-1), -1) + (1, -1, -1) + (1, -2), 1) \\
 & + ((1, -1) + (-1, 1) + (0), 0) \\
 = & ((-1, 1, 1) + ((1, -1) + (-1, 1) + (0), 1) + (-1, 2), -1) \\
 & + (((1, -1) + (-1, 1) + (0), -1) + (1, -1, -1) + (1, -2), 1) \\
 & + (1, -1, 0) + (-1, 1, 0) + (0, 0) \\
 = & (-1, 1, 1, -1) + (1, -1, 1, -1) + (-1, 1, 1, -1) + (0, 1, -1) \\
 & + (-1, 2, -1) + (1, -1, -1, 1) + (-1, 1, -1, 1) + (1, -1, -1, 1) \\
 & + (0, -1, 1) + (1, -2, 1) + (1, -1, 0) + (-1, 1, 0) + (0, 0).
 \end{aligned}$$

Regularizability index for stuffle product

Proposition (F.)

Let \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}' be two integer indices. Then,

$$m_{\mathbf{k}*\mathbf{k}'} = \min\{m_{\mathbf{k}}, m_{\mathbf{k}'}, m_{\mathbf{k}} + m_{\mathbf{k}'}\}.$$

Proof sketch.

By induction on the sum of the depth of \mathbf{k} and \mathbf{k}' , we check the recursion condition in the definition of the stuffle product. □

Example

$$\begin{aligned} & m_{(1,-1)*(-1,1)} \\ &= \min\{-4, -4, -4, -3, -3, -4, -4, -4, -3, -3, -3, -3, -2\} = -4 \\ & \min\{m_{(1,-1)}, m_{(-1,1)}, m_{(1,-1)} + m_{(-1,1)}\} = \min\{-2, -2, -4\} = -4 \end{aligned}$$

Regularizability index for stuffle product

Corollary (F.)

The space $\text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{\mathcal{I}^{\text{adm}}\}$ (resp. $\text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{\mathcal{I}^{\text{reg}}\}$) of admissible (resp. regularizable) integer indices is a \mathbb{Q} -subalgebra of $(\text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{\mathcal{I}\}, *)$.

Proof.

Let \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}' be two admissible (resp. regularizable) integer indices. By the proposition, we have

$$m_{\mathbf{k}*\mathbf{k}'} = \min\{m_{\mathbf{k}}, m_{\mathbf{k}'}, m_{\mathbf{k}} + m_{\mathbf{k}'}\} > 0 \text{ (resp. } \geq 0).$$

This shows that it is closed under the shuffle product, hence we have a subalgebra of $(\text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{\mathcal{I}\}, *)$. □

Positive-index map and stuffle product

Theorem (F.)

Let

$\text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{\mathcal{I}^{\text{adm}}\} = (\text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{\mathcal{I}^{\text{adm}}\}, *)$, $\text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{\mathcal{I}_{>0}^{\text{adm}}\} = (\text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{\mathcal{I}_{>0}^{\text{adm}}\}, *)$
be the \mathbb{Q} -algebra with stuffle product $*$. Then, the positive-index map

$$\pi^+ : \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{\mathcal{I}^{\text{adm}}\} \rightarrow \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{\mathcal{I}_{>0}^{\text{adm}}\}$$

is a \mathbb{Q} -algebra homomorphism, that is,

$$* \circ (\pi^+ \otimes \pi^+) = \pi^+ \circ *.$$

Proof sketch.

By induction on the sum of the depth of \mathbf{k} and \mathbf{k}' , we check the recursion condition in the definition of the stuffle product. □

p -adic multiple zeta values

p -adic (single variable) multiple polylogarithms

Definition

Fix a prime p . For an admissible positive integer index $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_r) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^r$, the p -adic (single variable) multiple polylogarithms are defined by the following series

$$\mathrm{Li}_{k_1, \dots, k_r}^p(z) = \sum_{0 < n_1 < \dots < n_r} \frac{z^{n_r}}{n_1^{k_1} \dots n_r^{k_r}} \in \mathbb{Q}_p[[z]].$$

Remark

Let $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_r) \in \mathbb{Z}^r$ be an admissible integer index. Let π^+ be the positive-index map. Then,

$$\mathrm{Li}_{\mathbf{k}}^p(z) = \sum_{I \in \mathrm{Supp}(\pi^+(\mathbf{k}))} c_{\mathbf{k}, I} \mathrm{Li}_I^p(z)$$

holds for the coefficients $c_{\mathbf{k}, I} \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\}$.

p -adic multiple zeta values

Definition ([Furusho])

Let $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_r) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^r$ be an admissible positive integer index. The p -adic multiple zeta values are defined by the following specific limit

$$\zeta_p(k_1, \dots, k_r) := \lim'_{z \rightarrow 1} \text{Li}_{k_1, \dots, k_r}^p(z) \in \mathbb{Q}_p.$$

Definition

Let $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_r) \in \mathbb{Z}^r$ be an admissible integer index. We define the p -adic multiple zeta value $\zeta_p(k_1, \dots, k_r)$ for an integer index by

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_p(k_1, \dots, k_r) &:= \lim'_{z \rightarrow 1} \text{Li}_{\mathbf{k}}^p(z) \\ &= \sum_{I \in \text{Supp}(\pi^+(\mathbf{k}))} c_{\mathbf{k}, I} \lim'_{z \rightarrow 1} \text{Li}_I^p(z) = \sum_{I \in \text{Supp}(\pi^+(\mathbf{k}))} c_{\mathbf{k}, I} \zeta_p(I) \in \mathbb{Q}_p, \end{aligned}$$

where π^+ is the positive-index map.

Double shuffle for *p*-adic MZVs of positive integer indices

We consider the \mathbb{Q} -linear map

$$\zeta_p : \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{\mathcal{I}^{\text{adm}}\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$$

defined by $\mathbf{k} \mapsto \zeta_p(\mathbf{k})$.

Remark ([Besser, Furusho], [Furusho, Jafari])

The *p*-adic multiple zeta values for admissible positive integer indices satisfy the double shuffle relation, that is, the \mathbb{Q} -linear map ζ_p is the \mathbb{Q} -algebra homomorphism with respect to the shuffle product \sqcup

$$\zeta_p : (\text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{\mathcal{I}_{>0}^{\text{adm}}\}, \sqcup) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$$

and is the \mathbb{Q} -algebra homomorphism with respect to the stuffle product $*$

$$\zeta_p : (\text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{\mathcal{I}_{>0}^{\text{adm}}\}, *) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p.$$

Double shuffle for p -adic MZVs of integer indices

Proposition (F.)

Let $(\text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{\mathcal{I}^{\text{adm}}\}, \sqcup)$ be the \mathbb{Q} -algebra of admissible integer indices. Then, the linear map

$$\zeta_p : \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{\mathcal{I}^{\text{adm}}\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$$

is a \mathbb{Q} -algebra homomorphism, i.e., $\zeta_p(\mathbf{k} \sqcup \mathbf{k}') = \zeta_p(\mathbf{k})\zeta_p(\mathbf{k}')$.

Proposition (F.)

Let $(\text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{\mathcal{I}^{\text{adm}}\}, *)$ be the \mathbb{Q} -algebra of admissible integer indices. Then, the linear map

$$\zeta_p : \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{\mathcal{I}^{\text{adm}}\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$$

is a \mathbb{Q} -algebra homomorphism, i.e., $\zeta_p(\mathbf{k} * \mathbf{k}') = \zeta_p(\mathbf{k})\zeta_p(\mathbf{k}')$.

Double shuffle for p -adic MZVs of integer indices

Proof.

The composition of algebra homomorphism ζ_p and π^+ is still an algebra homomorphism. □

Theorem (F.)

Let \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}' be admissible integer indices. The p -adic multiple zeta values at integer indices satisfy the double shuffle relation, that is,

$$\zeta_p(\mathbf{k} \sqcup \mathbf{k}') = \zeta_p(\mathbf{k})\zeta_p(\mathbf{k}') = \zeta_p(\mathbf{k} * \mathbf{k}').$$

Proof.

By the two propositions above, one can see that

$$\zeta_p(\mathbf{k})\zeta_p(\mathbf{k}') = \zeta_p(\mathbf{k} \sqcup \mathbf{k}') \text{ and } \zeta_p(\mathbf{k})\zeta_p(\mathbf{k}') = \zeta_p(\mathbf{k} * \mathbf{k}').$$

□

Example

Example

For admissible integer indices (a) and (b, c) with $b < 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_p((a) \sqcup (b, c)) &= \sum_{i=0}^c \binom{a+i}{i} \zeta_p(b, c-i, a+i) + \\ &\sum_{i=0}^a \binom{c+i}{i} \left[\sum_{j=0}^{\min\{a-i-1, -b\}} (-1)^j \binom{-b}{j} \zeta_p(a-i-j, b+j, c+i) \right. \\ &\left. + (-1)^{a-i} \sum_{j=0}^{-b-a+i} \binom{-b-1-j}{a-i-1} \zeta_p(-j, b+a-i+j, c+i) \right], \end{aligned}$$

where we formally let $\binom{n}{-1} = \delta_{n,-1}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} &\zeta_p((a) * (b, c)) \\ &= \zeta_p(b, c, a) + \zeta_p(b, a, c) + \zeta_p(a, b, c) + \zeta_p(a+b, c) + \zeta_p(b, a+c). \end{aligned}$$

References

- A. Besser and H. Furusho. *The double shuffle relations for p -adic multiple zeta values*. Contemp. Math. Volume 416, pp. 9-29. (2006)
- K. Ebrahimi-Fard, D. Manchon and J. Singer. *The Hopf algebra of $(q-)$ multiple polylogarithms with non-positive arguments*. Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN. no. 16, pp. 4882-4922. (2017)
- Ku-Yu Fan. *p -adic multiple zeta values of integer indices*. in preparation.
- H. Furusho. *p -adic multiple zeta values I: p -adic multiple polylogarithms and the p -adic KZ equation*. Invent. Math. Volume 155, no. 2, pp. 253-286. (2004)
- H. Furusho. *p -adic multiple zeta values II: Tannakian interpretations*. Amer. J. Math. Volume 129, no. 4, pp. 1105-1144. (2007)
- H. Furusho and A. Jafari. *Regularization and generalized double shuffle relations for p -adic multiple zeta values*. Compos. Math. Volume 143, no. 5, pp. 1089-1107. (2007)

Thank you for your attention!